Ethics in Action

Georgia Planning Association 2010 Spring Conference

WHAT IS PART C OF THE AICP CODE OF ETHICS AND PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT?

AICP Ethics Session
2010 GPA Spring Conference
Macon, GA

AICP Ethics Session



Review of Part C

Ethics Scenarios

Ethics Skit with Audience Voting

Organized into Three Sections:

Section A: Principles to Which We Aspire

Section B: Our Rules of Conduct Enforceable Standards

Section C: Our Rules of Procedure

Section A: Principles to Which We Aspire

Our Responsibility to ...

- **Public** provide information, opportunity for public input, advocacy, equity and fairness.
- Clients & Employers professional judgment, avoid conflict of interest.
- **Profession** Enhance integrity, fairness to other planners, contribute time to young professionals and students, continuing education.

Section B: Our Rules of Conduct

- Style "We Shall Not.","
- List of Twenty-five (25) Rules –
 Enforceable Standards

Section C: Our Rules of Procedure

- 1) Obtaining Formal or Informal advisory ethics ruling
- 2) How a charge of misconduct is filed, investigated, prosecuted and adjudicated

Section C: Our Rules of Procedure

- Informal Advice
 - Only AICP Ethics Officer is authorized
 - Non-Binding
 - Not in Writing
 - May be retained by AICP Ethics Committee in case of charge of misconduct is later filed
 - Twenty-one (21) Days Response Time Limit

Section C: Our Rules of Procedure

Formal Advice

- Only AICP Ethics Officer is authorized
- Request must be in writing
- Binding from AICP Ethics Officer
- Advice is not issued if inquiry concerns past action that should be the subject of a charge of misconduct
- Otherwise, response will be provided in Writing
- Following the advice provides a line of defense

Filing a Charge of Misconduct

- May be filed by any person against any AICP planner
- Write a letter to Ethics Officer (signed or anonymous)- fully document the person, the misconduct, and the rule that was violated
- Do not communicate with the accused ('respondent') or discuss with others

Responses and Investigation

- Ethics Officer notifies the 'Respondent' within two weeks and provides documentation
- The Ethics Officer has two options :
 - Instruct the Respondent to file a preliminary response to the Ethics Officer (in 30 days)
 - Dismiss if EO does not find basis for a charge –
 but the Charging Party has right to appeal dismissal of charges by writing to the Ethics Committee within 30 days may be reversed.

Responses and Investigation (cont'd)

- A Planner charged with misconduct and asked to file a preliminary response should seek legal counsel
- Preliminary response includes documentation of all facts, events and arguments the counter the charge
- Failure to respond in 30 days is considered failure to cooperate – expect consequences

Responses and Investigation (cont'd)

- Ethics Officer may appoint AICP staff or legal counsel to conduct an investigation
- Respondent must cooperate and encourage others with pertinent information to come forward, whether favorable or unfavorable

Responses and Investigation (cont'd)

- If charge is without merit, EO writes both parties and explains why
- EO may seek a private settlement between the Charging Party and Respondent
- Otherwise, EO drafts a Complaint and sends to Respondent by Certified Mail
- Complaint enumerates facts and violations, citing Rules of Conduct that were violated

Hearings, Decisions and Settlement

- Hearing is required if material facts are in dispute
- A Hearing Official is designated from among the Ethics Committee members
- EO transmits a "Notice of Hearing" to the Respondent and an *identified* Charging Party, citing the disputed facts – Hearing set near the site of the incident

Hearings, Decisions and Settlement

- Hearing to address the disputed facts
- Witness list required of both EO and Resondent – witnesses appear in person or video tape
- EO may present witness testimony or other evidence regarding disputed fact
- Respondent may present witness testimony or other evidence in rebuttal; EO rebuts

Hearings, Decisions and Settlement

- Ethics Officer and Respondent have 21 days to submit summary of their positions
- Final decision is made by the Ethics
 Committee based on documentation
- All parties are notified of the decision with an explanation

Three (3) Levels of Action in Code of Ethics

Reprimand

Suspension from AICP

Expelled from AICP

Section C: Our Rules of Procedure

Section 16 –Resignation or Membership Lapse During an Ethics Charge and Investigation, i.e. Resignation to "Avoid" Answering Ethics Charges

- If Planner Applies for Reinstatement within two (2) years, Ethics Matter is Revived
- If Planner does not Apply for Reinstatement within two (2) years, Planner may not Reapply for Certification for ten (10) years.

Advisory Rulings

- Important and Recurring
- Incorporated into the Code:
 - 1. Sexual Harassment
 - 2. Conflict of Interest
 - 3. Moonlighting
 - 4. Providing Full Information
 - 5. Duties to Help Enforce Code
 - 6. Disclosure (<u>VERY</u> Important)

Sources

- "Everyday Ethics for Practicing Planners", by Carol D. Barrett, FAICP, 2001, APA Press
- APA Website, Code of Ethics: www.planning.org/ethics/conduct.html
- Certified Planners and Ethics, by Paul Farmer, FAICP: www.planning.org/ethics/pdf/farmeraddress..pdf

Audience Comments and Questions!